## Case 2:18-ap-01066-RK Doc 83 Filed 05/26/19 Entered 05/26/19 21:30:30 Desc Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 1 of 3

Central District of California

Khionidi, Plaintiff Adv. Proc. No. 18-01066-RK

Cummins-Cobb, Defendant

### CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0973-2 User: mbakchell Page 1 of 1 Date Rcvd: May 24, 2019

Form ID: pdf031 Total Noticed: 1

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on May 26, 2019.

NO NOTICES MAILED.

Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center. +E-mail/PDF: mary@marycummins.com May 25 2019 03:10:03 Mary Katherine Cummins-Cobb,

645 W 9th St #110-140, Los Angeles, CA 90015-1640

\*\*\*\*\* BYPASSED RECIPIENTS (undeliverable, \* duplicate) \*\*\*\*\*

intp Courtesy NEF Konstantin Khionidi pla

Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP or replacing an incorrect ZIP. USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

Transmission times for electronic delivery are Eastern Time zone.

I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 309): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: May 26, 2019 Signature: /s/Joseph Speetjens

### CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on May 24, 2019 at the address(es) listed below:

Peter J Mastan (TR) peter.mastan@dinsmore.com,

pmastan@iq7technology.com;travis.terry@dinsmore.com Philip H Stillman on behalf of Plaintiff Konstantin Khionidi pstillman@stillmanassociates.com on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF ron@ronaldrichards.com, Ronald N Richards

 $\verb|morani@ronaldrichards.com|, \verb|justin@ronaldrichards.com||$ 

United States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov

TOTAL: 4

TOTAL: 1

TOTALS: 2, \* 0, ## 0

Case 2:18-ap-01066-RK Doc 83 Filed 05/26/19 Entered 05/26/19 21:30:30 Desc Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 2 of 3

1

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

In re:

MARY CUMMINS-COBB.

OF THE COBBS TRUST,

MARY CUMMINS-COBB.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

VS.

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

2324

26

25

2728

MAY 24 2019

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK

# **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES DIVISION

Case No. 2:17-bk-24993-RK

Chapter 7

Adv. No. 2:18-ap-01066-RK

·

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING DUE TO UNCLEAN HANDS

Date: March 27, 2019

Courtroom: 1675

KONSTANTIN KHIONIDI, AS TRUSTEE Time: 2:00

Debtor.

Pending before the court is the motion of Defendant Mary Cummins-Cobb to dismiss the adversary proceeding due to unclean hands attributable to Plaintiff Konstantin Khiondi as Trustee of the Cobbs Trust. Defendant's assertion of unclean hands is the assertion of an affirmative defense to the complaint that was not raised in her answer filed on April 12, 2018, which it should have been pursuant to Federal Rule

of Bankruptcy Procedure 7008 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(c). While an affirmative defense may be raised for the first time in a motion for summary judgment under the law of this circuit, *Camarillo v. McCarthy*, 998 F.2d 638, 639 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993), Defendant's motion does not meet the standard of a motion for summary judgment under Local Bankruptcy Rule 7056-1 because she did not give notice of the motion at least 42 days before the hearing on the motion and did not file a statement of uncontroverted facts and conclusions of law and a separate proposed summary judgment. Defendant's motion cannot be considered a proper motion to dismiss under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7012 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12 and should have been brought as a summary judgment motion under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7056 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 because she refers to matters outside the pleadings to support her motion, which is not permitted for a motion to dismiss under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7012 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(d).

Therefore, the court denies the motion of Defendant to dismiss the adversary proceeding due to unclean hands without prejudice because it is procedurally defective because it does not comply with the requirements of Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7056, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and Local Bankruptcy Rule 7056-1.

The further hearing on this motion scheduled for May 29, 2019 to announce a ruling is hereby vacated in light of the issuance of this written ruling. No appearances on this motion are required on May 29, 2019.

##

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: May 24, 2019

Robert Kwan

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Alle